



Structure of presentation

- The "gap year" and the "working holiday"
- Background
- Research questions and methods
- Comparative dimension
 - Challenges
 - Benefits
- Conclusions

The gap year

 "any period of time between 3 and 24 months which an individual takes 'out' of formal education, training or the workplace, and where the time out sits in the context of a longer career trajectory" (Jones, 2004)

The working holiday

- Reciprocal agreements allowing young people (e.g., 18-30) who meet specified criteria to live, work and/or study in another country for a short time (e.g., 1-2 yrs)
- "International understanding"



Image from:

http://www.ytravelblog.com/workingholidays-around-the-world/

Background

- Protracted/difficult transitions to employment
- Gap year travel as accumulation of capital, competitive advantage, inequality (Heath, 2007)
- Neoliberal emphasis on self-development encroaching into leisure sphere (Simpson, 2005)
- Variability (e.g., Japanese WHMs; Kawashima, 2010)
 - motivated by dissatisfaction; hard to re-establish careers
 - some felt they developed qualities that weren't valued

Research questions and methods

- Motivations and perceived consequences
 - socio-cultural factors influencing aspirations, values, experiences, outcomes in relation to youth mobility
- Class, gender and/or other inequalities
- Interviews with former working holidaymakers, careers advisers, and employers

Challenges

- Difficulty of asking "same" questions of "equivalent" populations in different countries, cultures, traditions, practices, languages
 - Participants and timing (Who takes a gap year? When?)
 - Variation in settings and roles (careers advisers; recruitment practices)
 - Vocabulary (e.g., terms for "job hunting", "graduate")

Benefits #1

- Broaden focus of research
 - UK: competitive advantage/ social inequalities (considering resources that enabled participants to take gap years, influenced content of gap year, and facilitated access to benefits upon return)
 - Japan: age and gender related norms (considering pressure from society, family and peer group to act in certain ways according to one's age and gender)

Benefits #2

- Widen range of expected possible outcomes
 - At simplest level, didn't just assume gap year would give competitive advantage nor be a disadvantage
 - Alerted to look for pressure from family/ peer group both contexts (e.g., suggested as something that sometimes pushed people in UK to take gap years)

Benefits #3

- Explore underlying processes at work in both contexts, and how they play out in each specific context, to develop more rigorous theories
 - Pressure from family/ peer group can be seen in both contexts; may have a different impact in each

Conclusions

- Broader analytic focus; wider range of outcomes
 - Similarity and variation
 - Repetitions, tensions, silences, and differences in nuances within and between contexts (neither is static or homogeneous)
- Explore underlying processes and specific nuanced contextual accounts

Thank you

- Participants in this research
- University of Leeds
- Hosei University
- Japan Foundation Endowment Committee

Lynley Aldridge l.j.aldridge@leeds.ac.uk

References

- HEATH, S. 2007. Widening the gap: Pre-university gap years and the 'economy of experience'. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, **28**(1), pp.89 103.
- JONES, A. 2004. *Review of Gap Year Provision*. London: Department for Education and Skills.
- KAWASHIMA, K. 2010. Japanese working holiday makers in Australia and their relationship to the Japanese labour market: Before and after. *Asian Studies Review*, **34**(3), pp.267 286.
- SIMPSON, K. 2005. Dropping out or signing up? The professionalisation of youth travel. *Antipode*, **37**(3), pp.447-469.